Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In re Applications of)	MM DOCKET NO. 97-128
MARTIN W. HOFFMAN, Trustee-in-Bankruptcy for Astroline Communications Company Limited Partnership)))	File No. BRCT-881201LG
For Renewal of License of Station WHCT-TV, Hartford, Connecticut))	
SHURBERG BROADCASTING OF HARTFORD)	File No. BPCT-831202KF
For Construction Permit for a New Television Station to Operate on Channel 18, Hartford, Connecticut)))	RECEIVED

OCT 2 0 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SHURBERG BROADCASTING OF HARTFORD

EXHIBIT NO. 139

<u>Deposition Transcript of</u>
<u>Dale R. Harburg</u>

Harry F. Cole

Bechtel & Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, N.W. Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-4190

Counsel for Alan Shurberg d/b/a Shurberg Broadcasting of Hartford



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)

MARTIN HOFFMAN et al., : File No. BRCT-881201LG

: MM Docket No. 97-128

: File No. BPCT-831202KF

Washington, D.C.

Thursday, August 27, 1998

Deposition of

DALE R. HARBURG

a witness, called for examination by counsel for Shurberg Broadcasting of Hartford, pursuant to notice and agreement of counsel, beginning at approximately 10:05 a.m. at Bechtel & Cole, 1901 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., before Michele M. Howell, notary public in and for the District of Columbia, when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

1	APPEAR	RANCES:
2	On	behalf of Shurberg Broadcasting of Hartford:
3		HARRY F. COLE, ESQUIRE
4		Bechtel & Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250 Washington D.C. 20036
5		Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-4190
6	0.5	behalf of Richard Ramirez:
7	On	
8		KATHRYN R. SCHMELTZER, ESQUIRE Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza
9		2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20006-1851 (202) 775-3547
10		(202) 775-3547
11	On	behalf of the Witness:
12		BONNIE R. GERHARDT Baker & Hostetler, LLP
13		Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
14		Washington, D.C. 20036-5304 (202) 861-1500
15	!	(202) 001 1300
16		
17		* * * * *
18		
19	·	
20		
21		
22		

1	CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE
3	Counsel for Shurberg Broadcasting of Hartford	5
4	Counsel for Richard Ramirez	55
5	Counsel for Richard Ramifiez	33
6	HARBURG DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	
7	No. 1 - May 5, 1987, Letter, Ramirez to Hart	11
8	No. 2 - July 7, 1987, Memorandum, Baker &	12
9	Hostetler to Broadcast Clients	
10	No. 3 - July 7, 1987, Letter, Hart to Sostek, Attachments	15
11	No. 4 - Ownership Report, Bates Stamped	16
12	BH 0810 to BH 0812	10
13	No. 5 - Ownership Report, Bates Stamped BH 0812	20
14	No. 6 - Handwritten Notes	23
15		
16	No. 7 - July 28, Letter, Bacon to Harburg	28
17	No. 8 - July 29, 1987, Letter, Harburg to Ramirez	29
18	No. 9 - July 31, 1987, Fax, Harburg to Bacon with Handwritten Note	32
19		2.0
20	No. 10 - July 31, 1987, Fax, Harburg to Bacon	38
21	No. 11 - Ownership Report, Bates Stamped BH 0796 to BH 0809	42
22		

-	•	1
1	HARBURG DEPOSITION EXHIBITS (CONT'D): PAGE	
- 2	No. 12 - August 3, 1987, Letter, Hart to 49 Tricarico, Attachments	
3	No. 13 - Memorandum, Harburg to Hart 53	
4		
- 5	No. 14 - Memorandum Opinion and Order 54	
6		
7	* * * *	
- 8		
9		
10		
- 11		
12		
13		
- 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 Whereupon, DALE L. HARBURG 3 was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 5 as follows: EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SHURBERG 8 BROADCASTING OF HARTFORD BY MR. COLE: 9 10 Would you state your name and Q 11 address for the record? 12 Dale Harburg, 10624 Stable Lane, 13 Potomac, Maryland, 20854. Good morning, Ms. Harburg. 14 Harry Cole, counsel for Shurberg Broadcasting 15 16 of Hartford. I understand you are appearing 17 here this morning pursuant to subpoena served 18 in connection with the WHCT proceeding with 19 the FCC? 20 Α Yes. 21 The subpoena requested that you

review your files in search of documents and

6 items set forth in the files. 1 2 Did you search your files? I do not have any communications 3 Α file. 4 5 Fair enough. You have been served with the subpoena approximately a month ago, 6 7 within the past month or thereabouts. 8 Prior to receiving the subpoena, do 9 you recall when the last time you had spoken 10 with anyone about Astroline Communications 11 Company Limited Partnership was? 12 I left Baker & Hostetler in 1991, 13 and have not talked to anybody about this 14 matter since then. 15 Since receiving the subpoena, have 16 you spoken with anybody about Astroline 17 Communications Company at all? I talked to Bonnie Gerhardt about 18 19 the scheduling of this deposition, and their

male associate.

Mr. Wick?

Α 22 Yes.

20

		7
1	Q	
2	principal	s of Astroline or any
3	represent	atives of Astroline?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Are you an attorney?
6	A	I am.
7	Q	When were you admitted to practice?
8	A	1985.
9	Q	In the District?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Have you worked in the private
12	practice	of law since 1985?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Could you just run down briefly
15	your priv	rate practice?
16	A	I worked at Baker & Hostetler
17	from 1985	to approximately 1991. I worked at
18	Vorys, Sa	ter, Seymour & Pease from 1981 to
19	approxima	tely 1993 or 1994, and took some
20	time off.	
21		In the last year and a half, I have
22	been in t	he law firm of Sughrue, Mion, Zinn,

		Ω
1	Macpeak & Seas on a part time basis.	8
2	Q That is since 1996, 1997?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q While you were at Baker &	
5	Hostetler, I will preface this so we all	
6	understand, I will only talk about the period	
7	of time you were at Baker & Hostetler.	
8	While there, did you have occasion	
9	to provide legal services to Astroline	
10	Services Communication Limited Partnership?	
11	A I don't recall.	
12	Q What was your position at Baker?	
13	A I was hired as an associate. I was	
14	there my time there was as an associate.	
15	Q Did you specialize in any	
16	particular practice area while at Baker?	
17	A When I was at Baker, I practiced	
18	mostly in the communications and in the	
19	trademark area.	
20	Q When you say communications, what	
21	do you mean?	
22	A For my first couple of years of	

9

- practice there, I did some communications
 law, helped out in some comparative hearings,
 levely believe. Finally, the routine FCC
- Q But it was an FCC practice as
 opposed to some other kind of communications
 practice?
- A As I recall. It was a long time game ago.
- 10 Q Do you recall whether it involved 11 broadcasting clients or non-broadcasting 12 clients?
- 13 A I believe it was broadcasting
 14 clients.
- 15 Q Do you recall any of the attorneys
 16 that you worked with in this communications
 17 practice while at Baker?
- A Don Zeifang, Ken Howard, Ken Skall and Tom Hart.
- Q Did you also work with an attorney
 named David Dudley?
- A He was an associate while I was

documents.

- 10 1 there. Dan Palmer? 2 Q 3 Α He was an associate while I was 4 there. Linda Bocchi? 5 Q She was an associate while I was 6 7 there. Q Did you work with any of those 8 people that you can recall? 9 I don't recall if we worked on 10 11 specific matters together. We were there at firm together. 12 Do you recall working on an 13 ownership report for Astroline? When I use 14 15 the term Astroline to avoid the full long line, I mean Astroline Communications Limited 16 17 Partnership. Do you remember working on a 18 partnership report for Astroline in the 19
- summer of 1987? 20
- 21 I certainly don't recall any time period of any time I worked on anything. 22

1	Ms. Gerhardt passed me a document
2	yesterday that appeared to be an ownership
3	report that had a fax cover sheet from me to
4	somebody, so, that document indicates that I
5	had, at least, seen an ownership report. I
6	don't have any independent recollection of it
7	other than that document.
8	MR. COLE: Let me show you what is
9	a one-page document on the stationery of
10	Richard P. Ramirez. It has some handwritten
11	notations on it.
12	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit
13	No. 1 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	BY MR. COLE:
16	Q Have you had a chance to look at
	nave you had a chance to look at
17	that?
17	
	that?
18	that? A I have.
18	that? A I have. Q At the bottom half, there is a

12 Do you know whose initials those 1 2 are? The first one looks to me like a T, 3 Α and it may be Tom Hart. I am not absolutely 4 5 sure. Q Do you know if you were the Dale who was the addressee of this? 7 Α I assume that I am. 8 Does that refresh your recollection 9 10 about working on the ownership report, 11 starting some time in May of '87? 12 Α Yeah. It doesn't refresh my recollection. It just tells me that Hart may 13 14 have written me a note. 15 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was marked for 16 17 identification.) BY MR. COLE: 18 This is a one-page document on 19 20 Baker & Hostetler stationery, a memorandum item addressed to Broadcast Clients dated 21 July 7, 1987 from Baker Hostetler, some 22

13 1 handwritten notations on the bottom. 2 I noted in particular that in "Ownership 3 numbered paragraph 2 it says: 4 reports must be filed with the FCC by 5 August 3, 1987. If you need assistance 6 preparing or filing this report, please 7 contact Dale or Jack Whitley as soon as 8 possible." 9 Does that refresh your recollection at all about your involvement or preparation 10 11 of ownership reports? 12 Α Ownership reports in general or 13 Astroline? 14 Ownership reports in general. 0 15 It refreshes my memory that I was Α involved for some of the firm's clients in 16 17 either reviewing or filing issues programs 18 lists and maybe ownership reports. 19 nothing more specific than that. 20 Q When you say maybe ownership 21 reports, why are you --

Because I seem to recall that I, at

Α

14 some period of time, I might have had more --1 had responsibility for the issues programs 2 3 lists. But I am not sure. Now, I direct your attention, 4 please, to the handwritten notation at the 5 bottom of the page which appears to have been 6 signed by Mindy Vasquez, communications 7 8 director. Are you familiar with the name 9 Mindy Vasquez? 10 Α I am not. 11 The notation says: "Per telephone 12 0 13 conversation on 7/14/1987 with attorney Dale Harburg, they will be preparing ownership 14 report on behalf of WHCT-TV 18." 15 16 Do you recall talking to 17 Ms. Vasquez on July 14th? 18 No, I do not. 19 MR. COLE: I will show you a series 20 of documents which are identical,

One is a letter dated July 7, 1987

essentially, I believe are.

21

15 1 from Mr. Hart to Mr. Sostek. One is a letter 2 dated July 7, '87 from Mr. Hart again to Mr. Kerchick, and a third is a letter dated 3 4 July 7, '87 from Mr. Hart to Mr. Ramirez. 5 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit No. 3 was marked for 6 7 identification.) BY MR. COLE: 8 9 Feel free to look at the entirety 0 of everything, if you like, although I am 10 primarily interested in the cover page of 11 each one, which is the Thomas Hart letters to 12 13 the various individuals. 14 Yeah. 15 But again, if I ask any question Q 16 that you think it would be helpful for you to look at more of the document or take more 17 time, feel free to do so. 18 19 In the final paragraph of each of 20 these letters it refers to, it states: 21 "Please complete the questionnaire," which is

the attachment to the letter, "and return it

to Dale Harburg by July 18, 1987." 1 2 Do you see that? I do. 3 Α Does that refresh your recollection 4 5 at all about your involvement in the 6 preparation of an ownership report in 1987 on behalf of Astroline? 7 It does not. 8 9 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was marked for 10 identification.) 11 12 BY MR. COLE: Exhibit 4 appears to be a draft 13 Q 14 ownership report, FCC Form 323, which is two 15 separate pages, but the back of the second page, the back of the first page has printing 16 I ask you to take a look at that. 17 on it. Do you recognize the document? 18 19 Α I do not recognize the document. 20 Well, I recognize that it is an ownership 21 report. 22 Q Is that your handwriting in the

1	upper left hand corner?	Ι,
2	A It is.	
3	Q Also, down at the lower right hand	
4	corner, there is some typed in verbiage which	
5	is crossed out and also the word "however,"	
6	which is handwritten.	
7	Is that your handwriting on that as	
8	well?	
9	A It appears to be.	
10	Q On page 2, the back of page 1,	
11	there is also some handwritten information:	
12	"Amended limited partnership agreement and	
13	certificate."	
14	Is that your handwriting?	
15	A It appears to be.	
16	Q Going back to page 1, do you know	
17	whether that is Mr. Ramirez' handwriting on	
18	the signature line?	
19	A It looks as though it is his	
20	signature. I don't have any independent	
21	knowledge of that.	

Q Is it safe to conclude that this is

1 a draft ownership report that has been corrected by you by hand? 2 It is safe to conclude that this is 3 my handwriting on here. I really have no 4 independent recollection of working on this 5 6 document other than seeing my handwriting on 7 here. Look down at the bottom on the 8 0 lower right hand corner. On page 1, there is 9 a question, which reads: "If a limited 10 11 partnership, is certification statement included as in Instructions 4?" 12 13 Do you see that language? 14 Α Yes. It was originally typed in "no" but 15 16 changed to "yes," does that appear to be? 17 Α Yes. Do you recall anything about that 18 Q 19 change? 20 No, not at all. Α 21 With respect to the typed verbiage immediately below that, do you recall whether 22

1 your you were responsible for adding that 2 verbiage? I have no recollection. 3 Α 4 Do you know why the answer was 5 changed from no to yes? Α I have no idea. 6 Look over on page 2, please. 7 8 handwritten notation at the top, or entry at the top which reads: "Amended limited 9 10 partnership agreement and certificate." The next column reads, "N/A" and 11 12 date of execution, I believe of 9/10/85. 13 Do you see that language? I do. 14 Α 15 Am I correct in looking at this 16 form there is only one other document that 17 appears to have been written in at some 18 point, although it is illegible on this form. 19 Do you agree with that? 20 Α I see one line that is illegible, a 21 second line that is illegible. I don't know if that refers to one document or two. 22

	1	~ ~
1	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit	20
2	No. 5 was marked for	
3	identification.)	
4	BY MR. COLE:	
5	Q You show you a one page, double	
6	sided, unexecuted FCC ownership report for	
7	Astroline Communications Company Limited	
8	Partners. This has the handwritten notation	
9	down in the lower right-hand corner which	
10	says: "Type in, see Exhibit 1."	
11	Do you see that?	
12	A I do.	
13	Q Is that your handwriting?	
14	A It appears to be.	
15	Q Also on the back, in paragraph 6,	
16	which calls for description of certain	
17	contracts or instruments, there are two	
18	contracts typed in; are we correct on that?	
19	A I see two documents typed in.	
20	Q Then below that, I see additional	
21	handwritten verbiage, which appears to	
22	describe some additional agreements.	

1	Do you see that language?	21
2	A I do.	
3	Q Is that your handwriting?	
4	A It appears to be.	
5	Q Do you recall the circumstances by	
6	which you came to make these notations on	
7	this document?	
8	A I do not.	
9	Q Can you tell me whether this is a	
10	later draft of what we have identified as	
11	Deposition Exhibit Number 4? Deposition	
12	Exhibit Number 4 had a signature appearing to	
13	be Mr. Ramirez' dated July 20, 1987?	
14	A This one?	
15	Q Yes.	
16	A I have no independent recollection	
17	other than by looking at some of the changes	
18	on the prior exhibit seems to have been made	
19	on this exhibit, but I have no independent	
20	recollection of that.	
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: Harry, I notice	
22	that Deposition Exhibit Number 5 bears the	

22 1 same number as the third page of Harburg 2 Deposition Exhibit Number 4, BH 0812. 3 MR. COLE: Oh, okay. Although, 4 that may have been added on. It was BH 0812, it obviously is an identical document. 5 not sure it should have been stapled to that. 6 7 It is entirely possible since I just got these out of the paper files, not necessarily 8 9 stapled together there. BY MR. COLE: 10 11 0 Referring to page 2, the second 12 side of Deposition Exhibit Number 5, do you 13 know where you would have gotten the information which was handwritten in? 14 I have no recollection. 15 Α 16 Q Did you have any responsibility at 17 Baker for maintaining ownership report files for any clients? 18 I don't recall. 19 Α 20 Do you recall who would have 0 21 actually done the typing on a report like

Who would have physically taken the

this?

page, put it in the typewriter and typed that 1 2 language on? 3 Α Work that I had done, if I gave it to somebody, it would have been to my 4 5 secretary. During this time period, I don't 6 recall who my secretary would have been. 7 am sorry. 8 MR. COLE: I will never tell. 9 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit 10 No. 6 was marked for 11 identification.) 12 BY MR. COLE: 13 Q Let me show you Deposition Exhibit 14 Number 6, which is a multipage document on 15 long, legal sized paper, which consists of handwritten notations. 16 17 The first page is approximately 18 three quarters, the writing at the top three 19 quarters, handwritten. 20 The next three pages appear to 21 consist of a memorandum dated 7/24/87 22 addressed to Dale from someone whose

	1	2.4
1	signature has been crossed off. There are	24
2	also handwritten notations in a different	
3	writing in the margin.	
4	Directing your attention to the	
5	first page, is that your handwriting?	
6	A It appears to be.	
7	Q Directing your attention to the	
8	second page, the body of the text, that is	
9	the memorandum addressed to Dale.	
10	Do you recognize that handwriting?	
11	A I do not.	
12	Q Would it refresh your recollection	
13	if I advised you that Mr. Dudley has	
14	testified that he believes it appears to be	
15	his handwriting?	
16	A It would not refresh my memory. I	
17	am not that familiar with his handwriting.	
18	Q Do you remember getting a	
19	memorandum from Mr. Dudley about the	
20	Astroline ownership report?	
21	A No independent recollection other	

than this.

1	Q Is that your handwriting at the top	25
2	above where it says Dale, I believe it says:	
3	Where did we get this info?	
4	A That appears to be my handwriting.	
5	Q Is that also your handwriting in	
6	the margin, a reference to WHCT, Carter Bacon	
7	and figures and percentages?	
8	A That appears to be my handwriting.	
9	Q Who is Carter Bacon?	
10	A That name sounds vaguely familiar	
11	to me, but I don't recall who he is.	
12	Q Are you familiar with the law firm	
13	of Peabody & Brown in Boston?	
14	A No.	
15	Q Are you familiar with an attorney	
16	named William Lance?	
17	A No.	
18	Q Do you recall speaking with	
19	Mr. Dudley about the preparation of the	
20	ownership report for Astroline sometime in	
21	July of '87?	
22	A No.	

1	Q Do you recall speaking with anybody
2	in July of '87 about the preparation of
3	ownership report for Astroline?
4	A Apart from these documents, I have
5	no independent recollection.
6	Q Now, referring to page 1, the top
7	page, at the very top there is a numbers one,
8	two, three circled. There is nothing next to
9	two and three. Next to number one there is
10	the name O'Brien.
11	Do you see that?
12	A I do.
13	Q Do you know who O'Brien refers to?
14	What that refers to?
15	A I have no idea.
16	Q Do you have any idea what that
17	notation at the top, one, two, three,
18	notation was about?
19	A I do not.
20	Q Just a little bit lower on the page
21	there is another list of four numbered items,
22	and I believe the first one reads, correct me

- 27 if I am wrong, but it is: Is there an 1 2 amended LPA for ACC Ltd. partners? 3 Α That is how I read that. Does the term LPA, is that an 4 0 5 abbreviation for limited partnership agreement? 6 7 I am assuming that is what it is. 8 Do you recall why you wrote that Q 9 question there? 10 No, I don't. Α 11 Q Would you have written that 12 question if you already had access to an 13 amended limited partnership agreement for Astroline in Baker & Hostetler's files? 14 15 Α I don't know. I would just be 16 speculating. 17 Do you recall whether you had 18 occasion in or around this time to review 19 Baker & Hostetler's files to see if they 20 contained a limited partnership agreement for 21 Astroline?
 - A I have no recollection.

1 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was marked for 2 3 identification.) BY MR. COLE: 4 This is a letter, one page in 5 0 length, dated July 28, 1987 addressed to you 6 7 from Carter S. Bacon. It was to be delivered to you in care of Mr. Hart. 9 Do you see that? I do. 10 Α 11 It is numbered paragraph number one 12 indicates that Mr. Bacon, who was transmitting to you a copy of Astroline 13 14 Communications Company Limited Partnership's 15 amended restated limited partnership 16 agreement and first amendment. 17 Do you see that? I do. 18 Α 19 Do you know why Mr. Bacon would 20 have been sending that document to you on 21 July 28, 1987? I have no independent recollection. 22 Α

	[
1	The first paragraph says as we discussed. It
2	would indicate we had a telephone
3	conversation, but no independent
4	recollection.
5	Q Do you know why Mr. Bacon would be
6	sending you a document in care of Mr. Hart?
7	A I do not.
8	Q Did Mr. Hart routinely receive
9	documents on your behalf while you were at
10	Baker Hostetler?
11	MS. GERHARDT: Objection.
12	BY MR. COLE:
13	Q Did Mr. Hart routinely receive
14	documents on your behalf in connection with
15	the Astroline matter while you were at Baker?
16	A I have no recollection.
17	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit
18	No. 8 was marked for
19	identification.)
20	BY MR. COLE:
21	Q I will show you another document,
22	multipage document, the first page of which

- is a letter. Let me ask you is that your signature on that letter?
 - A Yes.

- From you addressed to Mr. Ramirez 4 5 dated July 29, 1987. It reads: "Enclosed is the revised ownership report for WHCT, 6 7 Hartford, Connecticut. Please review the report for accuracy and sign and date page 1 8 and Exhibit 1 where indicated. Please return 9 to me by overnight mail for delivery on 10 Friday, July 31, 1987." 11
- Did I read that correctly?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Please read that, and I will ask
 you a few questions about it.
- 16 | A Okay.
- 17 Q So is it reasonable to conclude

 18 from this document that as of July 29, 1987,

 19 you had prepared a revised ownership report

 20 which you thought Mr. Ramirez would be able

 21 to sign?
- 22 A It is reasonable to conclude that I

- 1 | sent Mr. Ramirez a revised ownership report.
- 2 I just don't have any recollection of
- 3 preparing the report.
- 4 Q I understand that. But you
- 5 | wouldn't have sent him a document for
- 6 | signature if you didn't think it was ready to
- 7 be signed; is that correct?
- 8 A Correct, although it says please
- 9 review the report for accuracy and sign. So
- 10 I guess I was asking him to confirm that it
- 11 was accurate before we signed it.
- 12 Q Let me refer you to the page 1 of
- 13 | the ownership report form, the lower
- 14 | right-hand corner. The certification
- 15 question we asked about the lower half of the
- 16 | form: "If a limited partnership, is
- 17 | certification statement included as in
- 18 | Instruction 4?"
- Here it says: "Yes, see
- 20 Exhibit 1." I will refer you to Exhibit 1,
- 21 | which is a one page, single page entitled
- 22 | certification. I will ask you to read that.

		32
1	A To myself?	3 4
2	Q Yes, read it to yourself.	
3	A I have read it.	
4	Q Am I correct that the first	
5	sentence as written constitutes a	
6	certification that Astroline Company, as	*
7	distinct from Astroline Communications,	
8	Astroline Company was a limited partner	
9	actively involved in the limited media	
10	activities of Astroline Communications	
11	Limited Partnership?	
12	A Could you repeat that?	
13	MR. COLE: Sure.	
14	(The reporter read the record as	
15	requested.)	
16	THE WITNESS: It appears to say	
17	that.	
18	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit	
19	No. 9 was marked for	
20	identification.)	
21	BY MR. COLE:	
22	Q This is a multipage document, the	
i		

- first page of which is a telecopier cover

 letter form dated 7/31/87 indicating it was

 from you to Carter Bacon. Attached to it is

 another draft of the Astroline ownership

 report form.
 - In the upper right-hand corner of
 the front page there is some handwritten
 notations which, I believe read: "Dale, here
 are my comm," cut off in the photocopying,
 "let's have a conf call with Carter and Rich
 on Monday a.m. Please see me first.
- That appears to be the same

 initials which we saw earlier which we

 tentatively concluded were Tom Hart's?
- 16 A I believe so.
- 17 Q I also suggest, so that we will all
 18 understand each other, in 1987, July 31st,
 19 occurred on a Friday.
- 20 A Okay.

Thanks."

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Q The following Monday then would be August 3rd. Do you agree with me on that?

1 A Sure.

1.2

Q Assuming my predicate, the conclusion is adequate. Would it be reasonable to understand Mr. Hart's handwritten notation in the upper right-hand corner as an indication that you had given him a copy of this fax cover sheet and the attachment at the same time, on or about the same time that you sent it to Mr. Bacon?

A I have no recollection of that.

Q But, in any event, it is accurate to say that Mr. Hart wrote you a note transmitting his comments about the draft and returned it to you presumably sometime prior to Monday August 3rd?

A I don't see any August 3rd. I just see Monday a.m. So I don't know that it is that Monday.

Q Take a look at the firm form, itself, please. In the lower right-hand corner, I want to focus your attention on paragraph 5: "If a limited partnership

1 certification", that has now become no in the 2 lower right-hand corner. Do you see that? 3 4 Α I do see that. 5 The reference to any Exhibit 1 has Q apparently been deleted; is that correct? 6 7 It appears that way. Α 8 Do you know why that was changed? 9 Α I have no recollection. 10 Now, go to page 2, please. Actually pages two and three because they are 11 12 copies of the same page of the form but 13 slightly different information. 14 Refer back to Deposition Number 5, 15 which is one single page. Is it correct that the changes that you had indicated in 16 17 handwriting on page 2 of that appear to have been inserted in this version? 18 19 That is to say, the various letter 20 agreements which you have written in have now 21 been typed on to the form? 22 Α It appears this that the letter

agreements that I had put in handwriting had 1 2 been added on those two pages of the form. Now, look through the rest of the Q 3 4 form and I just want to call your attention to the fact that there are a number of 5 handwritten notations at various parts of the 6 rest of the form. 7 For your ease of reference, I will 8 9 tell you there are two on page, the page number BH 0817, to the word "address," 10 question mark appears to be written in. 11 12 Page 818 there appears to be a 13 correction on the spelling of Massachusetts. 14 Page 821 there is the word "were" 15 has been crossed out and the word "are," has been written in. 16 17 Page 822 there are some notations concerning addresses, same with 823, the same 18 19 on page 827, 828. Do you know whose 20 handwriting that is? I am not sure. 21 Α 22 0 Is it Mr. Hart's?

37 1 Α I don't remember that. Is it yours? 2 3 Α No. Do you recall if Mr. Hart gave you any comments on a separate piece of paper or 5 a separate document that would not have been 7 attached to what we have described as 8 Deposition Exhibit Number 9? No, no recollection. Α 10 Do you recall talking with Mr. Hart 11 about this draft? 12 Α I do not. 13 Who made the drawings of the notes? 14 Α I don't know. 15 MR. COLE: Off the record. (Discussion off the record) 16 17 BY MR. COLE: 18 Mr. Hart's notation in the upper 19 right hand corner said he wanted a conference call with Carter and Rich at Monday 20 21 at 9:00 a.m. 22 Do you know who that was?

1	A I assume Carter Bacon.	38
2	Q Do you know who Rich was?	
3	A I assume it was Rich Ramirez.	
4	Q You don't know what Monday a.m.	
5	would have been?	
6	A I do not.	
7	Q Do you know whether the conference	
8	call involving some or all of those	
9	individuals was held?	
10	A I do not recall.	
11	MR. COLE: I will show you this	
12	document.	
13	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit	
14	No. 10 was marked for	
15	identification.)	
16	BY MR. COLE:	
17	Q This is another, different copy of	
18	the document we just looked at. Again it is	
19	a copy of the same title sheet, it appears,	
20	without Mr. Hart's notations and without the	
21	musical note.	
22	It includes a copy of the draft	

ownership report, but without the handwritten 1 2 notations we just looked at and with some 3 different handwritten notations on it. On page BH 830, which is the first 5 page of the ownership report form there is a 6 handwritten notation which says: "No comma in names." Is that your handwriting? 8 It appears to be. 9 On page 839, BH 839 in the upper 0 margin there appears to be some notations 10 which I believe is "Kerchick," 11 12 K-e-r-c-h-i-c-k. 13 Do you see that? 14 Α Yes. 15 Is that your handwriting as well? I am not sure about that one. 16 Α 17 On the front of the this document Q 18 there is a notation at the bottom portion of 19 the page which appears to reads "Carter," 20 underlined, "he votes not to file because of 21 the implications."

Do you see that?

1	A I do).	4 0
2	Q Ist	hat your handwriting?	
3	A Ita	appears to be.	
4	Q Doy	ou recall what caused you to	
5	make that nota	ition?	
6	A I ha	ve no recollection.	
7	Q Doy	you know if you spoke to	
8	Mr. Bacon abou	t this ownership report?	
9	A I ha	eve no independent recollection,	
10	other than the	ese documents.	
11	Q Do y	ou recall whether you spoke	
12	with Mr. Ramir	rez about this report?	
13	A Nor	recollection.	
14	Q Is i	t safe to say, based on the	
15	documents you	have looked at so far, that as	
16	of July 31, '8	7, you had sent out at least	
17	one and probab	oly more than one draft	
18	ownership repo	orts to Mr. Ramirez which he had	
19	apparently sig	ned and that you had revised	
20	that report a	couple of times?	
21	A I se	ent to Mr. Ramirez?	

MS. SCHMELTZER: I am going to

41 1 object to the extent you say he had apparently signed. All of these ownership 2 3 reports were not all signed by Mr. Ramirez. MR. COLE: He did not sign all of 4 the drafts. 5 6 MS. SCHMELTZER: He didn't sign the 7 certification that is attached to Harburg Deposition Exhibit Number 8, either. 8 BY MR. COLE: 9 10 Mr. Ramirez had apparently signed Q 11 Deposition Exhibit Number 4. Is that 12 correct? 13 Α Mr. Ramirez appears to have signed, 14 yes. 15 The signature there is dated Q 16 July 20, 1987; is that correct? 17 It appears to be. 18 You had also, I believe, established, sent him by letter dated 19 20 July 29th, another copy to be signed? 21 Α Correct.

Which copy reflected changes in the

Q

	4 2
1	company ownership report from the copy he had
2	signed or that was dated July 20, 1987?
3	A Correct.
4	MR. COLE: Let me show you this.
5	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit
6	No. 11 was marked for
7	identification.)
8	BY MR. COLE:
9	Q This is an ownership report. Is
10	that Mr. Ramirez' signature as far as you can
11	tell?
12	A It appears to be.
13	Q That is dated July 31, '87, is it
14	not?
15	A Correct.
16	Q It appears to be Mr. Ramirez 's
17	signature dated July 31, 1987.
18	Do you recall when Mr. Ramirez
19	provided this to you for filing with the FCC?
20	A I have no recollection.
21	Q Do you know how Mr. Ramirez came to
22	obtain this report as typed up here for

- 1 | signature?
- 2 A I do not recall.
- Q Let me refer you to page 808,
- 4 BH 808 way at the back of this document. It
- 5 | is a document entitled Exhibit 3:
- 6 Certification.
- 7 It reads: "Astroline Company
- 8 | certifies that no limited partner will be
- 9 actively involved in the media activities of
- 10 | Astroline Company."
- It has a signature line for Fred
- 12 | Boling, general partner. Do you know who
- 13 | Fred Boling was?
- 14 A I do not know.
- 15 O There are some handwritten
- 16 notations on this apart from Mr. Boling's
- 17 | signature. Are those in your handwriting?
- 18 A They appear to be, yes.
- 19 Q Am I correct that the notation
- 20 | immediately under Mr. Boling's signature say:
- 21 | "Type in a date here opposite Boling's
- 22 | signature with the date July 20, 1987."?

Α Yes. 1 2 Immediately left to that: Q "Change 3," an arrow, "4 with whiteout." 3 4 Α Yes. 5 The notation immediately above the text of the certification, again help me with 6 "All limited partners which are not 7 this: 8 also general partners." I believe that is

what it reads.

9

20

- 10 A It says "all" or "are" all limited

 11 partners which are not also general partners.
- Flip over to the next page, please. 12 13 That is a document entitled Exhibit 4, 14 certification. That reads in its entirety: "Astroline Company certifies that no limited 15 partner who is not also a general partner 16 17 will be actively involved in the media 18 activies " typographical error, "of Astroline 19 Company."
 - This one is over the signature of Herbert A. Sostek, general partner,
- 22 dated 7/29/87; is that correct?

1 A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

Q Do you recall the circumstances
which lead to the creation of these two
certifications?

A I do not.

Q Do you know why, with respect to Mr. Boling's certification, you would have instructed someone to type in the date of July 20, 1987?

A I don't know.

Q Going back to the Boling certification page, page 808. With reference to the handwritten notation above the text, that is: "All or are limited partners which are not also general partners."

Do you know what that means?

A I do not.

Q Do you know why you wrote it in?

A I do not.

Q Do you know when you wrote it

21 | there?

22 A I do not.

		46
1	Q To the best of your recollection,	40
2	did you speak with anybody about the	
3	preparation of this document?	
4	A I have no recollection whatsoever	
5	about this document.	
6	Q Is it fair to say that you have	
7	been the person primarily responsible for the	
8	preparation of the Astroline ownership report	
9	in July of 1987, based on the documents you	
10	reviewed today?	
11	A Based on the documents, it looks	
12	like I had some involvement in the	
13	preparation of the ownership report. I have	
14	no recollection of whether other people were	
15	involved as well.	
16	Q Do you recall whether at any time	
17	during July of '87, while you were involved	
18	in the preparation of this ownership report,	
19	anyone at all told you that a Court of	
20	Appeals decision would interfere in any way	

I have no recollection of that

with the preparation of this report?

Α

21

1 | whatsoever.

2

3

5

6

- Q Do you have any reason to believe that a Court of Appeals decision did interfere in any way with the preparation of the report?
 - A I have no knowledge of that.
- Q During the period of time that you were working on or were involved in the preparation of the Astroline ownership report in July of '87, did anybody at all ever tell you that the death of Joel Gibbs would interfere in any way with the preparation of this report?
 - A I have no recollection of that.
- Q Do you know who Joel Gibbs was?
- 16 A I think I saw his name on these
 17 documents, but I have no independent
 18 recollection.
- Q Do you have any reason to believe that the death of Joel Gibbs, did, in fact, interfere in any way in the preparation of the report for July of 1987?

48 1 I have no recollections. Α During the same time period, that 2 0 is the period of your involvement in the 3 preparation of the Astroline ownership 4 5 reports in July of 1987, did anybody ever tell you that some internal reorganization of 6 Astroline would interfere in any way with the 7 preparation of the ownership report? 8 9 Α No, no recollection. Do you have any reason to believe 10 that any internal reorganization of Astroline 11 did, in fact, interfere in any way with the 12 preparation of the ownership report? 13 14 Α No, recollection. 15 0 Do you see anything in any of the documents you have been shown this morning 16 17 which would indicate any internal 18 reorganization might have interfered with the 19 preparation of the ownership report? 20 Α Repeat that. 21 Q Is there anything in any of the

documents you have been shown today that

		4 0
1	would indicate to you that an internal	49
2	reorganization of Astroline interfered in any	
3	way with the preparation of the ownership	
4	report?	
5	A No.	
6	Q Do you know whether an ownership	
7	report was, in fact, filed with the FCC on or	
8	about August 3, 1987?	
9	A No recollection.	
10	MR. COLE: Let me show you this.	
11	(Harburg Deposition Exhibit	
12	No. 12 was marked for	
13	identification.)	
14	BY MR. COLE:	
15	Q This is a letter dated	
16	August 3, 1987, addressed to the Secretary of	
17	the FCC from Mr. Hart on Baker & Hostetler	
18	stationery.	
19	For the record, I will say that it	
20	consists of a two-page letter, the second of	
21	which, the second page of which bears	
22	Mr. Hart's signature. Then there is some	

additional documents which Ms. Schmeltzer has provided to me which may or may not have been filed along with the August 3rd letter. We are not quite sure what was filed with the August 3rd letter.

But I am providing you the entire package, just to make sure that you have everything that might be conceivably filed on August 3, 1987, that we are aware of.

I ask you to take a look at

Mr. Hart's letter and the rest of it, to the

extent that you believe that review is

necessary to to familiarize yourself with the

document.

Does this refresh your recollection as to whether or not the ownership report was filed with the FCC on behalf of Astroline on August 3, 1987?

A It doesn't refresh my memory. It indicates that this was filed in lieu of the ownership report. But I have no memory of this.

51 Did you write this letter? 1 0 2 I don't know. Do you know who did write the 3 letter? 4 5 Α I don't know. Do you know why Mr. Hart filed this 7 letter in lieu of an ownership report? 8 Α I do not. Do you recall whether Mr. Hart 9 10 discussed this letter with you before he 11 filed it? I do not recall. 12 13 Do you recall whether he discussed it with you after he filed it? 14 15 I do not recall. 16 Did you discuss this letter with anybody at any time to the best of your 17 recollection? 18 19 I do not recall. 20 In the letter, that is Mr. Hart's 21 letter, Deposition Exhibit Number 12, in the

first paragraph it references in the second

		52
1	sentence or states: "Astroline is currently	52
2	in the process of resolving a number of	
3	matters that have arisen as a result of the	
4	recent Court of Appeals Order in Shurberg v.	
5	FCC, No. 84-1600, June 25, 1987."	
6	Do you have any idea why Mr. Hart	
7	mentioned that particular decision in this	
8	letter?	
9	A I do not.	
10	Q Later in that sentence it refers to	
11	the death of Joel A Gibbs, one of the limited	
12	partners of Astroline Company.	
13	Do you have any idea why Mr. Hart	
14	mentioned the death of Mr. Gibbs in this	
15	letter?	
16	A I do not.	
17	Q It finishes that sentence by	
18	referring to an internal reorganization.	
19	Do you see that?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Do you have any idea why he	
22	mentioned that in his letter?	

53 1 Α I do not. 2 Do you recall that any of those 0 3 matters, that is the Court of Appeals decision, the death of Mr. Gibbs, or some 4 5 internal reorganization was ever discussed with you in connection with your work on the 7 preparation of the Astroline ownership 8 report? I don't recall. 9 Α 10 (Harburg Deposition Exhibit No. 13 was marked for 11 12 identification.) 13 BY MR. COLE: 14 This is A two page document from Harburg to Thomas A. Hart re: 15 16 minority ownership and tax certificates. Ιt 17 does not have a signature or handwriting on But I just want to ask you if you 18 recognize this document? 19 20 It appears to be a memo from me to

Mr. Hart. I don't have any recollection of

21

22

it.

54 You don't recall when you would 1 Q have written it? 2 3 Α No: 4 Do you recall any of the circumstances which would have caused you to 5 write it? 6 7 Α No. (Harburg Deposition Exhibit 8 9 No. 14 was marked for identification.) 10 BY MR. COLE: 11 Lastly, I will show you a copy of a 12 Q 13 memorandum and order in the Daytona Broadcasting case that has some handwriting 14 at the top of the first page. 15 16 I want to ask you is that your 17 handwriting? 18 Α It appears to be. 19 Do you recall why you would have 0 written the note on the top of that page? 20 21 Α I do not recall. 22 Do you recall why you would have

55 been reading this particular case, this 1 2 decision? 3 I do not. Do you recall any of the 4 circumstances that lead you to write that 5 note? Α I do not. 8 0 I have nothing further. 9 MS. SCHMELTZER: I have just a few questions. 10 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR RICHARD 12 RAMIREZ 13 BY MS. SCHMELTZER: 14 Would you refer back to Harburg Deposition Exhibit Number 3, the letter 15 directed to William D. Kerchick? 16 17 Α I have that. 18 This letter requests some 19 information of Mr. Kerchick resulting from 20 the death of Joel Gibbs and requests 21 information about the media interests, if 22 any, of the estate of Joel A. Gibbs.

1	56 Attached to it is a media ownership
2	survey which is not completed.
3	Do you recall whether you ever saw
4	a completed copy of this survey?
5	A I have no recollection.
6	Q I would like you to, in conjunction
7	with that, just hold that, and would you
8.	refer to Harburg Deposition Exhibit
9	Number 10. I refer your attention to
10	вн 0839.
11	There is some handwriting up at the
12	top which Mr. Cole asked you about, where it
13	looks like someone wrote in Kerchick.
14	Is that your handwriting?
15	A It might be, but I am not sure
16	about that.
17	Q Does that refresh your recollection
18	at all as to who Mr. Kerchick is or why you
19	might have written that?
20	A No.
21	Q It says under item C, estate of
22	Joel A. Gibbs in care of William Kerchick.

1	Does that refresh your recollection	57
2	as to whether Mr. Kerchick was the attorney	
3	for the estate of Joel Gibbs?	
4	A It does not refresh my	
5	recollection.	
6	Q If you would look at the cover	
7	sheet of Harburg Deposition Exhibit	
8	Number 10, BH 0829.	
9 '	Mr. Cole asked you about the	
10	notation down at the bottom. Right below the	
11	language that he asked you about there is a	
12	word: "Talked to somebody Brown." Is that	
13	your handwriting?	
14	A It appears to be.	
15	Q Do you know what the initial is	
16	before brown?	
17	A It looks like a J to me.	
18	Q Do you have any ideas who that is?	
19	A No.	
20	Q Then below that it says "outdated,"	
21	is that your handwriting as well?	
22	A Yes.	

1	Q Do you have any recollection why	58
2	you wrote "outdated"?	
3	A I have no recollection.	
4	Q If you would turn to Harburg	
5	Exhibit Number 8, that is the July 29, 1997	
6	letter sending Mr. Ramirez the ownership	
7	report. If you would turn to BH 0848, which	
8	is an unsigned certification that is Exhibit	
9	Number 1?	
10	A I see that.	
11	Q Do you recall whether you were	
12	responsible for preparing this certification?	
13	A I have no recollection.	
14	Q Do you recall reviewing any	
15	documents before you prepared this	
16	certification?	
17	A I have no recollection.	
18	Q Do you remember speaking to anyone	
19	before you prepared this certification?	
20	A I have no recollection.	
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: That is all I	
22	have.	

_		59
1	MR. COLE: I don't have anything.	
_ 2	MS. GERHARDT: I don't have	
3	anything. I assume you want to sign it.	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
- 5	(Whereupon at 11:05 a.m. the	:
6	deposition of DALE R. HARBURG	
7	was adjourned.)	
- 8	* * * *	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		